

CORRECTION

Open Access



CrossMark

Correction to: The impact of food reformulation on nutrient intakes and health, a systematic review of modelling studies

Carlo Federici^{1*}, Patrick Detzel², Francesco Petracca¹, Livia Dainelli² and Giovanni Fattore^{1,3}

Correction to: BMC Nutr (2019) 5:2
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40795-018-0263-6>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors reported an error in Table 1. The rows and columns do not align correctly. The correct table can be found below.

The publishers apologise for this error. The original article [1] has been updated.

Author details

¹CeRGAS (Centre for Research on Health and Social Care Management), SDA Bocconi School of Management, Milan, Italy. ²Nestlé Research Center, Nestec SA, Lausanne, Switzerland. ³Department of Policy Analysis and Public Management, Bocconi University, Milan, Italy.

Received: 11 January 2019 Accepted: 11 January 2019

Published online: 04 February 2019

Reference

1. Federici C, et al. The impact of food reformulation on nutrient intakes and health, a systematic review of modelling studies. BMC Nutr. 2019;5:2.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40795-018-0263-6>.

* Correspondence: carlo.federici@unibocconi.it

¹CeRGAS (Centre for Research on Health and Social Care Management), SDA Bocconi School of Management, Milan, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Table 1 Interventions targeting sodium consumption

Author (year)	Study Characteristics			Type of intervention(s)	Voluntary or mandatory	Reduction in intake	Reduction in blood pressure (BP)	Life years gained and reduction in the incidence of health outcomes	Results on QOL measures
	Model type	Time horizon	Target foods						
Cogswell et al. (2017) [29]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Not modelled	All processed food	↓28% in Na content (Health Canada Benchmarks)	Mandatory	0.61 Na g/day (22%, UI = 0.59–0.63)	–	–	–
Choi et al. (2016) [30]	Micro-simulation	10y	All processed foods	Product reformulated to meet product-specific NSRI criteria extended to all food producers in the US	Mandatory	0.365 (SE = 0.9) Na g/day (10.9%)	–	Hypertension: 0.97% All AMI: 2.59% All strokes: 2.67% Fatal AMI: 0.36%	–
Food and Drink Industry Ireland (2016) [26]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Not modelled	10 Food macrocategories	Reformulation based on actual FDI voluntary programme	Mandatory extension of existing programme	0.57 Na g/day (17.8%) in adults	–	Fatal Strokes: 0.23%	–
Leroy et al. (2016) [32]	Epidemiological	1y	F&V, bread, meat, fish, sandwiches, sauces	Strong reformulation based on the Choices Programme criteria	Voluntary	0.06 Na g/day (2.3%) in adults	–	–	–
					Mandatory	12.7% daily Na intake	–	Fatal CVD/Strokes deaths averted: 422 Cancer deaths averted: 187	–
					Mild reformulation based on the Choices Programme criteria	9.3% daily Na intake	–	CVD/Strokes and Cancer deaths averted: 2408 (3.7%) – due to total reductions in Na, SFA and sugar consumption combined	–
Masset et al. (2016) [25]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Not modelled	Pizza	Reformulation to meet Nestle Nutrient Profiling targets	Mandatory	0.14 Na g/day (4%)	–	–	–
Nghiêm et al. (2016) [42]	Markov	Cohort life-time	All processed foods	59% substitution of NaCl with other salts (K, Mg)	Mandatory	1.82 Na g/day (51.5%)	–	12,783 QALYs gained/100000 pop. (UI = 10,348–15,609)	–
				25% substitution of NaCl with other salts (K, Mg)	Mandatory	0.77 Na g/day (21.8%)	–	5261 QALYs gained/100000 pop. (UI = 4230–6391)	–
			Bread	↓38.5% in NaCl content	Mandatory	0.28 Na g/day (7.9%)	–	1891 QALYs gained/100000 pop. (UI = 1509–2296)	–

Table 1 Interventions targeting sodium consumption (*Continued*)

Author (year)	Study Characteristics			Study Outcomes			Results on QOL measures
	Model type	Time horizon	Target foods	Type of intervention(s)	Voluntary or mandatory	Reduction in intake	
Wilson et al. (2016) [43]	Markov	Cohort life-time	All processed foods (bread, processed meats, sauces, snack food, bakery, cheese)	↓11.1% in NaCl content	Mandatory	0.08 Na g/day (2.3%)	-
			↓36% in NaCl content across product types	Mandatory	0.628 Na g/day	-	678 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 548-822)
			Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	5304 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 4270-6478)
			Bread	↓12-37% in NaCl content across bread types	Mandatory	0.043 Na g/day	-
			Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	5000 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 3709-6391)
	Processed meats		↓35-55% in NaCl content overall	Mandatory	0.069 Na g/day	-	387 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 309-470)
			Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	365 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 270-461)
			Sauces	↓30-63% in NaCl content across sauces types	Mandatory	0.104 Na g/day	-
	Combination of bread, - processed meats and sauces		Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	552 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 47-704)
			Mandatory	0.217 Na g/day	-	-	870 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 700-1057)
			Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	822 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 626-1039)
Snack food			↓34-48% in NaCl content across snacks types	Mandatory	0.032 Na g/day	-	1843 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 148-2239)
			Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	1743 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 217-322)
			Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	265 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 1326-2204)
			Voluntary	Same efficacy with higher uncertainty	-	-	252 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop. (UI = 191-317)

Table 1 Interventions targeting sodium consumption (*Continued*)

Author (year)	Study Characteristics			Study Outcomes		
	Model type	Time horizon	Target foods	Type of intervention(s)	Voluntary or mandatory	Reduction in intake
Dörtsch-Klerk et al. (2015) [23]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Not modelled	All processed foods	Products reformulated to meet the 6 g/day NaCl consumption target	Mandatory	0.107 Na g/day
Bruins et al. (2015) [45]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Cohort life-time	Soups	↓25% in Na content	Mandatory	0.05 Na g/day
Gillespie et al. (2015) [31]	Epidemiological	10y	All processed foods	↓30% in NaCl	Mandatory	0.58 Na g/day (UI = 0.56–0.60)
				↓10% in NaCl	Mandatory	0.19 Na g/day (UI = 0.18–0.20)
				↓24% in NaCl	Voluntary (applied to 39% of products)	0.19 Na g/day (UI = 0.03–0.63)

Table 1 Interventions targeting sodium consumption (Continued)

Author (year)	Study Characteristics			Study Outcomes		
	Model type	Time horizon	Target foods	Type of intervention(s)	Voluntary or mandatory	Reduction in intake
Hendriksen et al. (2015) [22]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Not modelled	Selected foods contributing to high intakes of NaCl	↓50% in NaCl content on average	Mandatory	0.9 Na g/day (37%)
Nghiem et al. (2015) [46]	Markov	Cohort life-time	All processed foods	↓25% in NaCl	Mandatory	0.525 Na g/day (15%)
			Breads, processed meats and sauces	↓25% in NaCl	Mandatory	0.296 Na g/day (9%)
Wilcox et al. (2015) [34]	Epidemiological	10y	Not modelled	Not modelled	Mandatory	0.005 Na g/day (10%) (UI = 0.003–0.021)
Collins et al. (2014) [36]	Epidemiological	10y	Not modelled	↓15% in NaCl content overall	Voluntary	1.21 Na g/day (UI = 0.32–1.94)
				↓20% in NaCl content overall	Mandatory	1.62 Na g/day (UI = 0.65–3.11)
Hendriksen et al. (2014) [47]	Markov	20y (clinical outcomes); cohort life-time	All processed foods	↓50% in NaCl content on average	Mandatory	2.3 Na g/day (28%)
			(DALYs)			1.5 mmHg (1.2%)
Mason et al. (2014) [53]	Epidemiological	10y	Not modelled	Not modelled	Mandatory	10% daily Na intake (UI = 5–40%)
Konfini et al. (2013) [37]	Markov	10y	All processed foods	↓8% in NaCl intake (stepped reduction by 4% for the	Mandatory (80% of sodium from processed foods)	1.00–2.00 mmHg
					4783 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop (UI = 3804–7174)	–
					2683 QALYs gained/ 100000 pop (UI = 2161–3256)	–
					CHD Deaths averted: 497 (UI = 130–3032)	–
					LYG: 11192 (UI = 5679–41,039)	–
					LYG: 14593 (UI = 9000–21,049)	–
					LYG: 19365 (UI = 11,967–27,887)	–
					4.4% AMI (UI = 3.1–5.6%)	0.5% DALYs averted in the population (UI = 0.37–0.68%)
					CHF: 1.8% (UI = 1.3–2.3%)	–
					Strokes: 6% (UI = 4.1–7.8%)	–
					Increase in life expectancy: 0.7% (UI = 0.5–0.9%)	–
					Tunisia: LYG 2272 (UI = 1151–3361)	–
					Syria: LYG 11192 (UI = 5679–41,039)	–
					Palestine: LYG 945 (UI = 479–3479)	–
					Turkey: LYG 135221 (UI = 68816–487,712)	–
					Total Deaths: 0.61%	–
					Fatal CHD: 0.98%	–

Table 1 Interventions targeting sodium consumption (*Continued*)

Author (year)	Study Characteristics			Type of intervention(s)	Voluntary or mandatory	Reduction in intake	Study Outcomes	Results on QOL measures
	Model type	Time horizon	Target foods					
Bertram et al. (2012) [38]	Epidemiological	1y	Bread, margarine, gravy, soups	↓40% in NaCl intake (4% per year for 10y)	Mandatory (80% of sodium from processed foods)	1,763 Na g/day	5,00–9,00 mmHg	AMI: 1.48% Stroke: 0.99% Total Deaths: 1.77% Fatal CHD: 2.63% AMI: 4.27% Strokes: 2.79%
Cobiac et al. (2012) [48]	Markov	Cohort life-time	Bread, margarine, breakfast cereals	↓54% in NaCl content on average	Mandatory	0.85 Na g/day	–	AMI: 1.48% Stroke: 0.99% Total Deaths: 1.77% Fatal CHD: 2.63% AMI: 4.27% Strokes: 2.79%
Combris et al. (2011) [8]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Not modelled	Breakfast cereals	Based on Heart Foundation Tick Programme: ↓26% in NaCl content in bread; 11% in margarine and 61% in breakfast cereals	Mandatory	0.009 Na g/day	–	AMI: 1.48% Stroke: 0.99% Total Deaths: 1.77% Fatal CHD: 2.63% AMI: 4.27% Strokes: 2.79%
Cobiac et al. (2010) [49]	Epidemiological	Cohort life-time	Bread, margarine, breakfast cereals	Mild to strong reformulation based on food nutrient distribution	Mandatory	0.001–0.013 Na g/day (1.4–13.5%)	–	AMI: 1.48% Stroke: 0.99% Total Deaths: 1.77% Fatal CHD: 2.63% AMI: 4.27% Strokes: 2.79%
Smith-Spangler et al. (2010) [50]	Markov	Cohort life-time	Not modelled	Based on Heart Foundation Tick Programme: ↓26% in NaCl content in bread; 11% in margarine and 61% in breakfast cereals	Voluntary	0.009 Na g/day	–	AMI: 1.48% Stroke: 0.99% Total Deaths: 1.77% Fatal CHD: 2.63% AMI: 4.27% Strokes: 2.79%

Table 1 Interventions targeting sodium consumption (*Continued*)

Author (year)	Study Characteristics			Study Outcomes			Results on QOL measures
	Model type	Time horizon	Target foods	Type of intervention(s)	Voluntary or mandatory	Reduction in intake in blood pressure (BP)	
Zoeddenburg et al. (2009) [27]	Mathematical/ Statistical	Not modelled	All processed foods	Reformulation set to meet Choices Programme criteria ↓ to 1g of NaCl per 100 g of bread	Mandatory Voluntary	23% daily Na intake (10% adjusting for energy compensation) –	–
Rubinstein et al. (2009) [51]	Markov	Cohort life-time	Bread	Not modelled	Mandatory Assumed 30% Na Intake	1.33 mmHg AmfB: 31.1% – on average	18.7 DALYs averted/ 100000 pop
Murray et al. (2003) [52]	Markov	Cohort life-time	Not modelled			EurA: 3.49% – on average	600,000 DALYs averted
						SearD: 3.49% – on average	1,300,000 DALYs averted
						AmfB: 1.56% – on average	1,000,000 DALYs averted
						EurA: 1.74% – on average	300,000 DALYs averted in the population
						Seard: 1.75% – on average	700,000 DALYs averted in the population
						–	500,000 DALYs averted in the population

Abbreviations: AMI/Acute Myocardial Infarction, AmB/Region of the Americas group B, CHD Coronary Heart Disease, CHF Coronary Heart Failure, CVD Cardiovascular diseases, DALY Disability Adjusted Life Years, Euro European Region group A, F&B/Food and Drink Industry Ireland, F&V/fruit and vegetables, K potassium, LYG Life Years Gained, Mg magnesium, Na sodium, NaCl Sodium Chloride, NL Netherlands, NSRI National Salt Reduction Initiative, QALY Quality Adjusted Life Year, Seard/Southeast Asian Region group D, UI Uncertainty Interval, UK United Kingdom, US United States of America